

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,824	11/13/2003	Wen-Jian Lin	Q78435	7844
23373	7590 11/10/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			NGUYEN, TUAN H	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2813	

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- KA				
	10/705,824	LIN ET AL.	`{ } }				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tuan H. Nguyen	2813					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D./ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	<u>ıne 2005</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-35</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PT0	D-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority document		tian Na					
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority			Stane				
		rea in this reational c	nage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	·	·					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [.152\				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/28/04</u>. 	6) Other:	r atent Application (FTO-	102)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Species II, claims 17-35 in the reply filed on 6/13/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: Reference number "504" for the second electrode as disclosed in the specification, page 10, line 19 is shown as "540" in figure 6B. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2813

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 17-35 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 9, 13, 14, 16-19, 21-24 of copending Application No. 11/069,938. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are both directed to a method for manufacturing an optical interference display unit disposed on a substrate comprising at least two openings in the sacrificial layer and the first electrode to define a position of the optical interference display unit; forming a post comprising a support in each of the openings and at least one arm on the support; forming a second electrode on the sacrificial layer and the at least one arm; performing a thermal process and removing the sacrificial layer.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,824

Art Unit: 2813

Note that the step of forming at least two openings in the instant claim 17 is broad enough to include at least four openings in the application '938.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sampsell et al., and Miles are cited as of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1694. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead Jr. can be reached on 571-272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/705,824

Art Unit: 2813

Tuan H - Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2813

Page 5